# Mississippi

# UNIFORM APPLICATION FY 2021 Mental Health Block Grant Report COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

OMB - Approved 04/19/2019 - Expires 04/30/2022 (generated on 12/01/2020 9.49.45 PM)

Center for Mental Health Services
Division of State and Community Systems Development

# A. State Information

### **State Information**

### **State DUNS Number**

Number 809399926

**Expiration Date** 

# I. State Agency to be the Grantee for the Block Grant

Agency Name Mississippi Department of Mental Health

Organizational Unit Bureau of Community Services

Mailing Address 239 North Lamar Street, 1101 Robert E. Lee Building

City Jackson Zip Code 39201

### II. Contact Person for the Grantee of the Block Grant

First Name Diana

Last Name Mikula

Agency Name Mississippi Department of Mental Health

Mailing Address 239 North Lamar Street, 1101 Robert E. Lee Building

City Jackson Zip Code 39201

Telephone (601) 359-1288

Fax 601-359-6295

Email Address diana.mikula@dmh.ms.gov

# III. State Expenditure Period (Most recent State exependiture period that is closed out)

From 7/1/2019

To 6/30/2020

### **IV. Date Submitted**

### NOTE: This field will be automatically populated when the application is submitted.

Submission Date 12/1/2020 9:49:27 PM Revision Date 12/1/2020 9:49:32 PM

### V. Contact Person Responsible for Report Submission

First Name Jake

Last Name Hutchins

Telephone (601) 359-1288 Fax (601)359-6295

Email Address jake.hutchins@dmh.ms.gov

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

### **Footnotes:**

Printed: 12/1/2020 9:49 PM - Mississippi - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

# **B.** Implementation Report

# MHBG Table 1 Priority Area and Annual Performance Indicators - Progress Report

y Area:		
,	Peer Support	
у Туре:	MHS	
ation(s):	SMI, SED	
f the priority area	a:	
nce the transition	process of individuals to a les	s restrictive environment
gies to attain the	goal:	
e Peer Bridgers at	t a behavioral health program	and local Community Mental Health Centers utilizing WRAP
nual Performa	ance Indicators to measur	re goal success
Indicator #:		1
Indicator:  Baseline Measu	rromant.	Number of Peer Bridgers  In EV 2016, there were 5 Peer Bridgers
		In FY 2016, there were 5 Peer Bridgers
, ,	t/outcome measurement:	
•	rget/outcome measurement:	
New Second-ye	ear target/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):
Data Source:		
	ed quarterly by the 3 local CMI	HCs and the behavioral health program and submitted to DMH.
		HCs and the behavioral health program and submitted to DMH.
Data is collecte		HCs and the behavioral health program and submitted to DMH.
Data is collecte	ce(if needed):	HCs and the behavioral health program and submitted to DMH.
Data is collected  New Data Source  Description of Description of Description and 3	Ce(if needed):  Data:  Collected includes number of B local CMHCs. Each of the 3 CM	HCs and the behavioral health program and submitted to DMH.  Peer Bridgers employed by and tracked by the grantees which are a behavioral health MHCs has a full-time Peer Bridger and the behavioral health program has two part-time ligers will help individuals transition back into their communities and avert future potential
Data is collected  New Data Source  Description of I  Quarterly data program and 3  Peer Bridgers. crises.	Ce(if needed):  Data:  Collected includes number of B local CMHCs. Each of the 3 CM	Peer Bridgers employed by and tracked by the grantees which are a behavioral health MHCs has a full-time Peer Bridger and the behavioral health program has two part-time
Data is collected  New Data Source  Description of I  Quarterly data program and 3  Peer Bridgers. crises.  New Description	Data:  I collected includes number of B local CMHCs. Each of the 3 CI Services provided by Peer Brid	Peer Bridgers employed by and tracked by the grantees which are a behavioral health MHCs has a full-time Peer Bridger and the behavioral health program has two part-time ligers will help individuals transition back into their communities and avert future potential
Data is collected  New Data Source  Description of D  Quarterly data program and 3 Peer Bridgers. crises.  New Description  Data issues/cav	ce(if needed):  Data:  Collected includes number of B local CMHCs. Each of the 3 Cl Services provided by Peer Briden of Data:(if needed)	Peer Bridgers employed by and tracked by the grantees which are a behavioral health MHCs has a full-time Peer Bridger and the behavioral health program has two part-time Igers will help individuals transition back into their communities and avert future potential sures:
Data is collected  New Data Source  Description of E  Quarterly data program and 3 Peer Bridgers. crises.  New Descriptio  Data issues/cav	Ce(if needed):  Data:  Collected includes number of B local CMHCs. Each of the 3 Cl Services provided by Peer Briden of Data:(if needed)  Teats that affect outcome measuremently no data issues/caveats ex	Peer Bridgers employed by and tracked by the grantees which are a behavioral health MHCs has a full-time Peer Bridger and the behavioral health program has two part-time digers will help individuals transition back into their communities and avert future potential sures:
Data is collected  New Data Source  Description of E  Quarterly data program and 3 Peer Bridgers. crises.  New Descriptio  Data issues/cav	ce(if needed):  Data:  Collected includes number of B local CMHCs. Each of the 3 Cl Services provided by Peer Briden of Data:(if needed)	Peer Bridgers employed by and tracked by the grantees which are a behavioral health MHCs has a full-time Peer Bridger and the behavioral health program has two part-time digers will help individuals transition back into their communities and avert future potential sures:
Data is collected  New Data Source  Description of I  Quarterly data program and 3  Peer Bridgers. crises.  New Descriptio  Data issues/cav  There are current	Ce(if needed):  Data:  Collected includes number of B local CMHCs. Each of the 3 Cl Services provided by Peer Briden of Data:(if needed)  Teats that affect outcome measuremently no data issues/caveats ex	Peer Bridgers employed by and tracked by the grantees which are a behavioral health MHCs has a full-time Peer Bridger and the behavioral health program has two part-time digers will help individuals transition back into their communities and avert future potential sures:  pected to affect outcome measures.  measures:
Data is collected  New Data Source  Description of I  Quarterly data program and 3  Peer Bridgers. crises.  New Descriptio  Data issues/cav  There are current	Data:  Collected includes number of B local CMHCs. Each of the 3 Cl Services provided by Peer Briden of Data: (if needed)  Treats that affect outcome measuremently no data issues/caveats expected by the progress Toward Goal	Peer Bridgers employed by and tracked by the grantees which are a behavioral health MHCs has a full-time Peer Bridger and the behavioral health program has two part-time Igers will help individuals transition back into their communities and avert future potential sures:  pected to affect outcome measures.  measures:
Data is collected  New Data Source  Description of E  Quarterly data program and 3 Peer Bridgers. crises.  New Description  Data issues/cav  There are curred  New Data issue  Report of I  First Year Targ	Data:  Collected includes number of B local CMHCs. Each of the 3 Cl Services provided by Peer Briden of Data: (if needed)  Treats that affect outcome measuremently no data issues/caveats expected by Peer Briden of Data: (if needed)  Treats that affect outcome measuremently no data issues/caveats expected by Peer Briden of Data: (if needed)  Treats that affect outcome measuremently no data issues/caveats expected by Peer Briden of Data: (if needed)	Peer Bridgers employed by and tracked by the grantees which are a behavioral health MHCs has a full-time Peer Bridger and the behavioral health program has two part-time Igers will help individuals transition back into their communities and avert future potential sures:  pected to affect outcome measures.  measures:
Data is collected  New Data Source  Description of I  Quarterly data program and 3 Peer Bridgers. crises.  New Description  Data issues/cav  There are current New Data issue  Report of I  First Year Targ  Reason why tar	Data:  Collected includes number of B local CMHCs. Each of the 3 Cl Services provided by Peer Briden of Data: (if needed)  Treats that affect outcome measuremently no data issues/caveats expected by Peer Briden of Data: (if needed)  Treats that affect outcome measuremently no data issues/caveats expected by Peer Briden of Data: (if needed)  Treats that affect outcome measuremently no data issues/caveats expected by Peer Briden of Data: (if needed)	Peer Bridgers employed by and tracked by the grantees which are a behavioral health MHCs has a full-time Peer Bridger and the behavioral health program has two part-time Igers will help individuals transition back into their communities and avert future potential sures:  pected to affect outcome measures.  measures:  al Attainment  ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:

individuals who attend follow-up appointments by offering intensive peer support services. The South Mississippi Peer Bridger Incentive Project began in the fourth quarter of FY20 and is an expansion project that is scheduled to be fully implemented in the first quarter of FY21. Peer Bridgers are Certified Peer Support Specialists who serve as outreach liaisons to support people transitioning from a state hospital into outpatient care at their local Community Mental Health Center. The goal is to see an increase in follow-up appointments and a decrease in readmissions.

Priority #: 2

**Priority Area:** Peer Support

Priority Type: MHS

**Population(s):** SMI, SED

### Goal of the priority area:

Utilize individuals with lived experience of mental illness and/or substance use and parent/caregivers to provide varying supports to assist others in their journey to recovery and resiliency.

### Strategies to attain the goal:

- · Conduct outreach to stakeholders to increase the number of CPSS and the role of CPSSs
- Provide training and technical assistance to service providers on the Recovery Model, Person Centered Planning, and System of Care principles
- Provide training to CPSS Supervisors on recruitment, retention, and supervision of CPSSs

	1
Indicator:	Number CPSSs employed by DMH certified providers
Baseline Measurement:	36 CPSSs were employed by DMH certified providers in FY 2015
First-year target/outcome measurement:	253
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	278
New Second-year target/outcome measuren	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
Data is maintained by DMH based on subm	ission of Verification of Employment Forms to the DMH Division of PLACE.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
	tified providers employing Certified Peer Support Specialists. In FY 2018, 230 Certified Peer certified providers.
Data is collected quarterly from all DMH cer Support Specialists were employed by DMH	
Data is collected quarterly from all DMH cer	certified providers.
Data is collected quarterly from all DMH cer Support Specialists were employed by DMH New Description of Data:(if needed)	asures:
Data is collected quarterly from all DMH cer Support Specialists were employed by DMH New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means	asures:  xpected to affect outcome measures.
Data is collected quarterly from all DMH cer Support Specialists were employed by DMH New Description of Data:(if needed)	asures:  xpected to affect outcome measures.  e measures:
Data is collected quarterly from all DMH cer Support Specialists were employed by DMH New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means There are currently no data issues/caveats endemneed the company of the content of the conte	asures:  xpected to affect outcome measures.  e measures:  pal Attainment

Parent/Caregiver

CPSS, CPSS Young Adult, and CPSS – Recovery. Mississippi held five CPSS Trainings in FY20. During the year, six young people participated in the CPSS Young Adult training, which was developed in conjunction with NAMI Mississippi. Also in FY20, a total of 33 Parent/Caregiver designations were received. DMH also continues to use CPSS Ambassadors to support CPSSs and educate interested stakeholders about peer support. FY20 is the first year to utilize CPSS Ambassadors to support CPSSs upon hire and provide individualized support for up to six months.

Priority #: 3

**Priority Area:** Community Supports for Adults

Priority Type: MHS
Population(s): SMI

### Goal of the priority area:

Provide community supports for adults transitioning and/or living in the community to prevent out-of-home placements

### Strategies to attain the goal:

nual Performance Indicators to measur	re goal success
Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Number of admissions to PACT Teams
Baseline Measurement:	In FY 2015, there were 97 admissions to PACT Teams
First-year target/outcome measurement:	200
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	225
New Second-year target/outcome measureme	ent(if needed):
Data Source:	
All ten (10) PACT Teams submit data quarterly	y to DMH. Data includes number of admissions to PACT Team services.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
Quarterly data is submitted by the eight PAC admissions to PACT Teams with 384 individua	T Teams. Data includes number of admissions. During FY 2018, there were 140 new als being served.
	<u> </u>
admissions to PACT Teams with 384 individual  New Description of Data:(if needed)	als being served.
admissions to PACT Teams with 384 individual  New Description of Data:(if needed)	als being served.  sures:
Admissions to PACT Teams with 384 individual New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurements	sures:  pected to affect outcome measures.
admissions to PACT Teams with 384 individual  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mease  There are currently no data issues/caveats ex  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	sures:  pected to affect outcome measures.  measures:
admissions to PACT Teams with 384 individual  New Description of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas  There are currently no data issues/caveats ex  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Goa	sures:  pected to affect outcome measures.  measures:  al Attainment
Achieve	sures:  pected to affect outcome measures.  measures:  al Attainment  ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Admissions to PACT Teams with 384 individual New Description of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mease. There are currently no data issues/caveats expected by the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome. Report of Progress Toward Goal	sures:  pected to affect outcome measures.  measures:  al Attainment  ed

grants provided to the Community Mental Health Centers. A PACT team includes a team leader, psychiatrist or a psychiatric nurse practitioner, two registered nurses, a master's level mental health professional, a substance use specialist, an employment specialist, a Certified Peer Support Specialist, an administrative assistant, and additional clinical personnel.

Priority #: 4

**Priority Area:** Community Support Services for Adults

Priority Type: MHS

Population(s): SMI

### Goal of the priority area:

Provide funding to offset costs of mental health services provided to individuals with serious mental illness who have no payer source

### Strategies to attain the goal:

Grant funding to 14 CMHCs for Purchase of Services

-Annual Performance Indicators	to	measure	goal	success-
--------------------------------	----	---------	------	----------

Indicator #:

Indicator: Number of units of service reimbursed by Purchase of Service Grant

Baseline Measurement: In FY 2015, 180,002 units of service were provided to adults with serious mental illness who

have no payer source.

First-year target/outcome measurement: Maintain the number of units of service

Second-year target/outcome measurement: Maintain the number of units of service

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

### **Data Source:**

The 14 CMHCs submit data monthly through cash requests and monthly reports. This data includes number of units of services provide through the POS grants. Number of units of services reimbursed cannot be increased without an increase in funding.

### New Data Source(if needed):

# **Description of Data:**

Data is collected through monthly cash requests and submitted to DMH by the 14 CMHCs/grantees.

### New Description of Data:(if needed)

# Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

There are currently no data issues/caveats expected to affect outcome measures.

# New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

# Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

### Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

### How first year target was achieved (optional):

In FY20, the number of units of services provided to adults with serious mental illness with no payer source was maintained.

Priority #:

Priority Area: Crisis Services

Printed: 12/1/2020 9:49 PM - Mississippi - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Priority Type: MHS

Population(s): SMI, SED

# Goal of the priority area:

Expand access to crisis services to divert individuals from more restrictive environments such as jails, hospitals, etc.

## Strategies to attain the goal:

Track the number of admissions to the Crisis Stabilization Units

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Number of admissions to CSUs
Baseline Measurement:	In FY 2015, there wer 3,609 admissions to the CSUs
First-year target/outcome measurement:	3,500
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	3,600
New Second-year target/outcome measurer Data Source:	nent( <i>if needed</i> ):
Quarterly data, which includes number of a	dmissions, is submitted by the CSUs to DMH.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
Description of Data:  Crisis Stabilization Units submit data quarte the CSUs served 3,513 individuals.	erly to DMH which includes the number of involuntary and voluntary admissions. In FY 201
Crisis Stabilization Units submit data quarte the CSUs served 3,513 individuals.	erly to DMH which includes the number of involuntary and voluntary admissions. In FY 201
Crisis Stabilization Units submit data quarte the CSUs served 3,513 individuals.  New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Crisis Stabilization Units submit data quarte the CSUs served 3,513 individuals.  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meaning and the control of	asures:
Crisis Stabilization Units submit data quarte the CSUs served 3,513 individuals.  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meaning with the addition of more CSUs and the im	plementation of iCORTs, the targets/outcome measurements for the first and second year
Crisis Stabilization Units submit data quarte the CSUs served 3,513 individuals.  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meaning with the addition of more CSUs and the immay differ from the projected targets.  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	asures:  plementation of iCORTs, the targets/outcome measurements for the first and second year  e measures:
Crisis Stabilization Units submit data quarte the CSUs served 3,513 individuals.  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meaning with the addition of more CSUs and the immay differ from the projected targets.	asures:  plementation of iCORTs, the targets/outcome measurements for the first and second year  e measures:  pal Attainment

would likely result in the need for inpatient care. A Crisis Stabilization Unit for children and youth operated by Region 9 opened in the fourth quarter of FY20. The unit has a 12-bed capacity and serves children and youth ages 6-17. This community-based service setting provides intensive mental health assessment and treatment. Follow-up outreach and aftercare services are provided as an adjunct to

Priority #: 6

this service.

Priority Area: Crisis Services
Priority Type: SAP, SAT, MHS

health episodes that, if not addressed,

Population(s): SMI, SED

### Goal of the priority area:

Divert individuals from more restrictive environments such as jail and hospitalizations by utilizing Mobile Response Teams.

### Strategies to attain the goal:

Increase the number of contacts/calls made by the Mobile Crisis Response Teams

### Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:

1

**Indicator:** Number of contacts/calls

Baseline Measurement: In FY 2015, Mobile Crisis Response Teams received 19,660 calls/contacts

First-year target/outcome measurement: 27,000

Second-year target/outcome measurement: 28,000

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

### **Data Source:**

The number of emergency calls and contacts responded to by the Mobile Crisis Response Teams is submitted to DMH two times per year.

### New Data Source(if needed):

### **Description of Data:**

Data is submitted two times per year by the Mobile Crisis Response Teams to DMH. In FY 2018, at total of 26,322 calls were received and there were a total of 18,651 face-to-face visits.

### New Description of Data:(if needed)

### Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

There are currently no issues/caveats expected to affect outcome measures.

### New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

# Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target:

Achieved 
Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)

### Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

### How first year target was achieved (optional):

In FY20, there were 36,921 calls/contacts responded to by the Mobile Crisis Response Teams. 20,322 calls involved face-to-face responses by the teams, and 2,590 were in conjunction with law enforcement. All 14 CMHCs have a Mobile Crisis Response Team, which allows for all 82 counties in Mississippi to have access to this crisis service. Mobile Crisis Response Teams work hand-in-hand with local law enforcement, Chancery Judges and Clerks, and the

Crisis Stabilization Units to ensure a seamless process. Without mobile crisis intervention, an individual experiencing a crisis may be inappropriately and unnecessarily placed in a jail, holding facility, hospital, or inpatient treatment program. The goal is to respond in a timely manner to where the individual is experiencing the crisis or meet the individual at a designated location such as the local hospital.

Priority #: 7

**Priority Area:** Supported Housing

Priority Type: MHS
Population(s): SMI

### Goal of the priority area:

Connect adults with serious mental illness to appropriate housing opportunities

### Strategies to attain the goal:

Ensure that people with a serious mental illness who are housed as a result of Supportive Housing have the opportunity to live in the most integrated settings in the community of their choice by providing an adequate array of community supports/services

### Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:

Indicator: Number of assessments provided; number of individuals maintained in supportive housing

Baseline Measurement: In FY 2016, 48 assessments were provided and 48 individuals were maintained in supportive

housing

First-year target/outcome measurement: 200 assessments provided; 200 individuals maintained permanent supportive housing

Second-year target/outcome measurement: 300 assessments provided; 300 individuals maintained permanent supportive housing

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

**Data Source:** 

The CMHCs operating CHOICE programs submit data quarterly to DMH.

### New Data Source(if needed):

### **Description of Data:**

Data will be submitted quarterly to DMH to include the number of assessments provided and the number of individuals maintained in Supportive Housing. The CHOICE program began in March 2016 with programs being operated by six CMHCs. The CHOICE program is currently available in all CMHC regions, and in FY 2018, 211 assessments were provided. A variety of services are provided to these individuals including outpatient services, peer support, PACT, physician services, community support, intensive case management, and/or psychosocial rehabilitative services.

### New Description of Data:(if needed)

### Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

There are currently no data issues/caveats expected to affect outcome measures.

### New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

# Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target: Achieved In Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

# Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

### How first year target was achieved (optional):

In FY20, 258 individuals received housing services through CHOICE. CHOICE is a partnership between DMH, Mississippi Home Corporation, Mississippi United to End Homelessness (MUTEH), and Open Doors Homeless Coalition. MUTEH can house individuals in all 82 Mississippi counties, and Open Doors can house them in the southern-most six counties in the state. While CHOICE provides the assistance that makes the housing affordable, local Community Mental Health Centers (CMHC) provide the appropriate services, all based on the needs of the individual. The program is available in all CMHC regions.

Priority #: 8

**Priority Area:** Community Supports for Children

Priority Type: MHS

Population(s): SED

# Goal of the priority area:

Utilize MAP Teams to help serve children and youth in their community and prevent unnecessary institutionalizations

# Strategies to attain the goal:

Technical assistance will be provided to MAP Team coordinators regarding outreach to increase participation by identified agencies as requested and/or needed.

	1
Indicator:	Number of representatives from CPS, school districts, and juvenile justice attending MAP teams quarterly
Baseline Measurement:	This is a new indicator. Baseline data will be gathered in FY 2019.
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Projections regarding outcomes will be made once baseline data has been gathered
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Projections regarding outcomes will be made once baseline data has been gathered
New Second-year target/outcome measuren Data Source:	nent(if needed):
Data, including local partners present at MA	P Teams, are submitted quarterly to DMH by the MAP Team Coordinators.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
-	ting by name and group affiliation or agency represented. Quarterly reports are submitted to apile the information from monthly sign in sheets.
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	isures:
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	
There are currently no data issues/caveats e	xpected to affect outcome measures.
There are currently no data issues/caveats e	e measures:
There are currently no data issues/caveats e	e measures:  al Attainment
There are currently no data issues/caveats e  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Go  First Year Target:  Achiev	e measures:  al Attainment  ved
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go	e measures:  al Attainment  ved

**Priority #:** 9

**Priority Area:** Community Supports for Children

Priority Type: MHS
Population(s): SED

# Goal of the priority area:

Increase statewide use of Wraparound Facilitation with children and youth

# Strategies to attain the goal:

Increase statewide use of Wraparound Facilitation with children and youth through training and supports provided by the Mississippi Wraparound Institute

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Number of children served by Wraparound Facilitation
Baseline Measurement:	In FY 2015, 1,078 children were served by Wrapround Facilitation.
First-year target/outcome measurement:	1,775
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	1,800
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
Data, which includes the number of children Mississippi Wraparound Institute located at	and youth served by Wraparound Facilitation, is submitted quarterly to DMH by the the University of Southern Mississippi.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
Mississippi Wraparound Institute (MWI) em	vide Wraparound Facilitation in FY 2018, and a total of 535 individuals were trained. The ploys nationally certified Wraparound coaches in the state to provide training and supports ation in Mississippi. Data is submitted quarterly to DMH by MWI. In FY 2018, 1,329 children icilitation.
Mississippi Wraparound Institute (MWI) empto certified providers of Wraparound Facilita and youth were served with Wraparound Fa	ploys nationally certified Wraparound coaches in the state to provide training and supports ation in Mississippi. Data is submitted quarterly to DMH by MWI. In FY 2018, 1,329 children
Mississippi Wraparound Institute (MWI) empto certified providers of Wraparound Facilitation and youth were served with Wraparound Face New Description of Data: (if needed)	ploys nationally certified Wraparound coaches in the state to provide training and supports ation in Mississippi. Data is submitted quarterly to DMH by MWI. In FY 2018, 1,329 children icilitation.
Mississippi Wraparound Institute (MWI) empto certified providers of Wraparound Facilitation and youth were served with Wraparound Face New Description of Data: (if needed)	ploys nationally certified Wraparound coaches in the state to provide training and supports ation in Mississippi. Data is submitted quarterly to DMH by MWI. In FY 2018, 1,329 children icilitation.
Mississippi Wraparound Institute (MWI) empto certified providers of Wraparound Facilitation and youth were served with Wraparound Facilitation of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean of the provided in the provi	ploys nationally certified Wraparound coaches in the state to provide training and supports ation in Mississippi. Data is submitted quarterly to DMH by MWI. In FY 2018, 1,329 children icilitation.  sures:
Mississippi Wraparound Institute (MWI) empto certified providers of Wraparound Facilitation and youth were served with Wraparound Facilitation of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurement of the control of Data issues/caveats expenses of the control of Data issues/caveats expenses of the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurement of the Data issues/caveats that affect outcomes	ploys nationally certified Wraparound coaches in the state to provide training and supports ation in Mississippi. Data is submitted quarterly to DMH by MWI. In FY 2018, 1,329 children icilitation.  sures:  sures:  e measures:
Mississippi Wraparound Institute (MWI) empto certified providers of Wraparound Facilitation and youth were served with Wraparound Facilitation of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurement of Data issues/caveats expenses that affect outcome measurement of Progress Toward Go	ploys nationally certified Wraparound coaches in the state to provide training and supports ation in Mississippi. Data is submitted quarterly to DMH by MWI. In FY 2018, 1,329 children icilitation.  sures:  e measures:  al Attainment
Mississippi Wraparound Institute (MWI) empto certified providers of Wraparound Facilitation and youth were served with Wraparound Facilitation of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurement of Progress Toward Go  First Year Target:  Achieve	ploys nationally certified Wraparound coaches in the state to provide training and supports ation in Mississippi. Data is submitted quarterly to DMH by MWI. In FY 2018, 1,329 children icilitation.  sures:  e measures:  al Attainment  Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Mississippi Wraparound Institute (MWI) empleted to certified providers of Wraparound Facilitation and youth were served with Wraparound Facilitation of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurement of There are currently no data issues/caveats expenses that affect outcome measurement of Progress Toward Go	ploys nationally certified Wraparound coaches in the state to provide training and supports ation in Mississippi. Data is submitted quarterly to DMH by MWI. In FY 2018, 1,329 children icilitation.  sures:  Appected to affect outcome measures.  The measures:  Al Attainment  The Mot Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)  The anges proposed to meet target:

Priority #: 10

**Priority Area:** Community Supports for Children

**Priority Type:** 

Population(s): SED, ESMI

### Goal of the priority area:

Assist youth and young adults in navigating the road to recovery from First Episode Psychosis (FEP), including efforts to function well at home, on the job, at school and in the community through the Coordinated Specialty Care Team

### Strategies to attain the goal:

ndicator #:	1
ndicator:	Number of youth and young adults experiencing FEP served through NAVIGATE
Baseline Measurement:	In FY 2016, 4 youth/young adults experiencing FEP were served through by NAVIGATE
First-year target/outcome measurement:	70
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	75
New Second-year target/outcome measurer	ment(if needed):
Data Source:	
Number of youth and young adults experie CSC teams.	ncing FEP served through the NAVIGATE Program is submitted monthly to DMH by the two
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
served 25 young addits. Region o win begin	providing NAVIGATE services in FY 2019. Data is submitted monthly to DMH by the CSC
teams which includes the number of youth	and young adults served through the NAVIGATE Program.
teams which includes the number of youth  New Description of Data:(if needed)	and young adults served through the NAVIGATE Program.
teams which includes the number of youth  New Description of Data:(if needed)	and young adults served through the NAVIGATE Program.  asures:
New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me	and young adults served through the NAVIGATE Program.  asures:  expected to affect outcome measures.
New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me There are currently no data issues/caveats e	asures: expected to affect outcome measures.  le measures:
New Description of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me There are currently no data issues/caveats e  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Go	asures: expected to affect outcome measures.  Dal Attainment
New Description of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me There are currently no data issues/caveats e  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Go  First Year Target:	asures: expected to affect outcome measures.  Del Attainment eved  Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
New Description of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me. There are currently no data issues/caveats experiments assues/caveats that affect outcome.  Report of Progress Toward Governments assues as the content of the conte	asures:  expected to affect outcome measures.  de measures:  Dal Attainment  eved  Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  hanges proposed to meet target:
New Description of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me There are currently no data issues/caveats e  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Go  First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and cl  During FY20, a total of 63 youth and young FY19. This target was not achieved as a result three counties served by this troubled CMF	asures:  expected to affect outcome measures.  Dal Attainment  eved  Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  hanges proposed to meet target:  adults were served in the NAVIGATE Program. This is a decrease from 69 youth served during out of the near closure of one of the CMHCs granted to operate one of the five programs. The HC have been assumed and will be served by another CMCH, Region 12, beginning in January egion 12, the NAVIGATE program should grow and in turn, the number of youth and young

Priority #: 11

**Priority Area:** Community Services for Children

Priority Type: MHS
Population(s): SED

### Goal of the priority area:

Provide services through the Juvenile Outreach Program (JOP) that are necessary for a youth's successful transition from a detention center back to his/her home and/or community

# Strategies to attain the goal:

Indicator #:		1
Indicator:		Number of youth served in detention centers
Baseline Measureme	ent:	In FY 2018, 1,760 youth were served in detentions centers in the Juvenile Outreach Program
First-year target/out	come measurement:	1,800
Second-year target/o	outcome measurement:	1,850
New Second-year ta	rget/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):
Data is submitted m	nonthly by the CMHCs red	ceiving Juvenile Outreach Program (JOP) grant funding.
New Data Source(if	needed):	
Description of Data:		
justice system and/of for assessments, cri	or local detention center sis intervention, medicati	ns to provide a range of services and supports for youth with SED involved in the juvenile which include immediate access to a Community Support Specialist or Certified Therapist ion monitoring, family therapy, and individual therapy. Monthly data is submitted to DMH provide services through the Juvenile Outreach Program. In FY 2018, 1760 youth were served
New Description of I	Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats	that affect outcome mea	sures:
There are currently	no data issues/caveats ex	pected to affect outcome measures.
	no data issues/caveats ex	
New Data issues/cav		measures:
New Data issues/cav	eats that affect outcome	measures: al Attainment
New Data issues/cav Report of Progress Year Target:	gress Toward Go	measures: al Attainment
Report of Progress Year Target:  Reason why target w	gress Toward Go	measures:  al Attainment  ed
Report of Progrist Year Target:  Reason why target w  How first year target  In FY20, 2,111 youth	gress Toward Good Achieved as not achieved (optional) in involved in the juvenile	measures:  al Attainment  ed
Report of Programs. Of the 2,	gress Toward Good Achieved as not achieved (optional) in involved in the juvenile	measures:  al Attainment ed Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) anges proposed to meet target:  : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Report of Programs. Of the 2,	gress Toward Good Achieved Achieved Achieved (optional) in involved in the juvenile 111 youth served, 2,011 of	measures:  al Attainment ed Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) anges proposed to meet target:  : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
New Data issues/cav Report of Programs Vear Target: Reason why target w How first year target In FY20, 2,111 youth Programs. Of the 2,  y #: 12 y Area: Comm	gress Toward Good Achieved as not achieved (optional) in involved in the juvenile	measures:  al Attainment ed Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) anges proposed to meet target:  : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
New Data issues/cav  Report of Programs Year Target:  Reason why target w  How first year target  In FY20, 2,111 youth Programs. Of the 2,  y #: 12  y Area: Comm	gress Toward Good Achieved Achieved Achieved (optional) in involved in the juvenile 111 youth served, 2,011 of	measures:  al Attainment ed Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) anges proposed to meet target:  : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Report of Programs. Of the 2,  When Data issues/cave and the programs of the 2,  When Target is the program of the 2,  When Target is	gress Toward Good Achieved Achieved Achieved (optional) in involved in the juvenile 111 youth served, 2,011 of	measures:  al Attainment ed Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) anges proposed to meet target:  : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Report of Programs Year Target:  Reason why target we have first year target.  In FY20, 2,111 youth Programs. Of the 2,  y #: 12  y Area: Common y Type: MHS  ation(s): SMI  f the priority area:	gress Toward Good Achieved, and chart was achieved (optional) in involved in the juvenile 111 youth served, 2,011 of munity Integration	measures:  al Attainment ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target:  : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Report of Programs Year Target:  Reason why target we have first year target.  In FY20, 2,111 youth Programs. Of the 2,  y #: 12  y Area: Common y Type: MHS  ation(s): SMI  f the priority area:	gress Toward Good Achieved, and chart was achieved (optional) in involved in the juvenile 111 youth served, 2,011 of munity Integration	measures:  al Attainment ed Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) anges proposed to meet target:  justice system received mental health services and supports through the Juvenile Outreach
Report of Programs Year Target:  Reason why target we have first year target.  In FY20, 2,111 youth Programs. Of the 2,  y #: 12  y Area: Common y Type: MHS  ation(s): SMI  f the priority area:	gress Toward Good Achieved Achieved Achieved (and chart was achieved (aptional) in involved in the juvenile 111 youth served, 2,011 of the property of the pro	measures:  al Attainment ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target:  : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :

Indicator #:

Indicator: Number of iCORTs operating and number of admissions to iCORTS

Baseline Measurement: Five CMHCs will operate iCORTS. Baseline data regarding number served will be gathered

in FY 2020.

First-year target/outcome measurement: 5 iCORTs in operation; Projections regarding outcomes will be established once baseline

data has been gathered.

Second-year target/outcome measurement: 5 iCORTs in operation; Projections regarding outcomes will be established once baseline

data has been gathered.

### New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

### **Data Source:**

Data regarding number of iCORTS operating and number of admissions to iCORTS will be submitted quarterly to the Division of Adult Services.

# New Data Source(if needed):

### **Description of Data:**

Regions 1,2,7,11, and 14 will operate Mississippi's first iCORTS for adults with severe and persistent mental illness to help people remain in the community and avoid placement in state hospitals. The Division of Adult Services will collect the data regarding number served on a quarterly basis from the five (5) CMHCs operating iCORTS.

### New Description of Data:(if needed)

### Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Baseline data will be gathered in FY 2020. Data issues/caveats that may affect target achievement are currently unknown.

### New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

# Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target: Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)

### Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

### How first year target was achieved (optional):

In FY20, 115 individuals were served by six (6) Intensive Community Outreach Recovery Teams (ICORT). ICORTs are operated by CMHCs. These teams provide intensive, mobile services to people who have severe and persistent mental illness. An ICORT has fewer staffing requirements and higher staff-client ratios than a traditional PACT Team, allowing them to target more rural areas where there may be staffing issues or clients are spread over a large geographical area. An ICORT is staffed with a registered nurse, a master's level mental health therapist, a Certified Peer Support Specialist, an administrative assistant, and can also utilize a part-time Community Support Specialist.

Priority #: 13

Priority Area: Supported Employment

Priority Type: MHS

Population(s): SMI

### Goal of the priority area:

Develop employment options for adults with serious and persistent mental illness

### Strategies to attain the goal:

Expand employment options for adults with serious and persistent mental illness to employ individuals with serious and persistent mental illness by increasing referrals

### —Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #: Indicator: Number of individuals with SMI who are gainfully employed; Number of referrals made to In FY 2016, four (4) program sites helpd 102 individuals become gainfully employed. **Baseline Measurement:** First-year target/outcome measurement: 250 individuals employed; 175 referrals made to MDRS Second-year target/outcome measurement: 300 individuals employed; 180 referrals made to MDRS New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): Data Source: Supported Employment programs submit data quarterly to DMH including the number of individuals with serious mental illness who are employed and the number of referrals made to MDRS. New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** As of June 2019, supported employment is provided in Regions 2,3,4,7,8,9,10,11,12.14, and 15 These sites submit data quarterly to DMH including the number of individuals with serious mental illness who are employed. In FY 2018, supported employment programs assisted 257 individuals on their road to recovery by helping them to become employed in the openly competitive job market New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: There are no data issues/caveats expected to affect outcome measures. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment **✓** Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): There were 280 people employed through supported employment programs in FY20. In addition to Individual Placement and Support (IPS) Supported Employment sites in Regions 2, 7, 10, and 12, DMH has partnered with the Mississippi Department of Rehabilitation Services for a Support Employment Expansion program that began in Regions 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 14, and 15 during FY20. Through the collaboration, CMHCs have hired or designated Supported Employment Specialists to work alongside vocational rehabilitation counselors to coordinate employment services and monitor the health of the employees. 14

Priority #:

**Priority Area: Recovery Supports** 

**Priority Type:** MHS

Population(s): SMI, SED

### Goal of the priority area:

Strengthen family education and family support capabilities in the state

### Strategies to attain the goal:

Provide a variety of training and workshops targeting people with SMI and family members of children/youth with SED throughout the state

# -Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:

Indicator: Number of training and workshops **Baseline Measurement:** In 2015, 110 workshops/support groups/trainings were conducted by NAMI. First-year target/outcome measurement: 140 Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): **Data Source:** The number of trainings and workshops provided by NAMI-MS to individuals with SMI and family members of individuals with SMI and children and youth with SED is submitted quarterly to DMH. New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** NAMI-MS submits data quarterly to DMH regarding the number of trainings and workshops provided to individuals with SMI and family members of individuals with SMI and children and youth with SED. DMH funds NAMI-MS to provide recovery support services to individuals with serious mental illness and family members of children and youth with SED by offering trainings and workshops on issues surrounding their mental health challenges. New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: There are no data issues/caveats expected to affect outcome measures. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment ☐ Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: NAMI-MS provided 21 trainings and workshops to individuals with SMI and family members of individuals with SMI and children and youth with SED in FY 2020. During FY 2020, COVID-19 brought in-person trainings to a halt to ensure the safety of training participants. Additionally, NAMI-MS experienced a change in leadership, and a new Executive Director was appointed to lead the agency in FY 2020. These two issues had an effect on the number of trainings that could be offered. NAMI-MS is working to be able to provide trainings in a virtual format. However, the lack of participants' access to technology creates a barrier to the virtual learning format. How first year target was achieved (optional): Priority #: 15 **Priority Area: Recovery Supports** MHS SMI, SED

**Priority Type:** 

Population(s):

### Goal of the priority area:

Expand the peer review/quality assurance process by utilizing Personal Outcome Measures (POM) interviews to measure outcomes of individuals receiving services

### Strategies to attain the goal:

DMH will offer technical assistance to providers after POM reports are released to providers

### -Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:

Number of visits to conduct POM interviews at CMHCs Indicator:

**Baseline Measurement:** In FY 2015 and 2016, 350 POM interviews were conducted during certification visits to the CMHCs. First-year target/outcome measurement: 8 POM interview visits Second-year target/outcome measurement: 8 POM interview visits New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): **Data Source:** The number of Personal Outcome Measure (POM) visits to the CMHCs will be tracked and submitted to DMH quarterly. New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** The number of Personal Outcome Measure (POM) Interview visits completed during each certification visit to the CMHCs will be tracked and submitted to DMH quarterly. Certified Peer Support Specialists participate on the Certification Visit Team and conduct the interviews during scheduled certification visits. Results of the POM interviews are released to the provider and technical assistance is offered based on the results of the report. New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: There are currently no data issues/caveats expected to affect outcome measures. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

First Year Target:

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

In FY20, 6 out of 8 POM interview visits were able to be conducted. The two additional visits needed to achieve the goal were unable to be conducted due to the safety guidelines that were mandated by the CDC and the Mississippi Department or Health during the COVID -19 pandemic.

How first year target was achieved (optional):

Priority #: 16

**Priority Area:** Community Integration

**Priority Type:** MHS Population(s): SMI

Goal of the priority area:

Enhance the transition process of people to a less restrictive environment

Strategies to attain the goal:

Strengthen the utilization of Wellness Recovery Action Plans at the behavioral health programs to help individuals identify and understand their personal wellness resources

Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:

Indicator: Number of Wellness Recovery Action Plans begun prior to discharge from behavioral health

programs

**Baseline Measurement:** In FY 2019, 338 Wellness Recovery Action Plans were begun prior to discharge

First-year target/outcome measurement: 400 Second-year target/outcome measurement: 500 New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): **Data Source:** The number of Wellness Recovery Action Plans begun prior to discharge is submitted by the behavioral health programs to DMH on a quarterly basis. New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** The number of Wellness Recovery Action Plans begun prior to discharge at the behavioral health programs is submitted quarterly to DMH. Wellness Recovery Action Plans (WRAP) is part of the transition process, which provide people with a self-directed wellness tool upon discharge to support the individual as he/she transitions from a higher level of treatment into a more integrated treatment setting in the community. A total of 338 WRAPs were conducted at the pilot program (NMSH).In addition, SMSH conducted 364 WRAPS. New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: There are no data issues/caveats expected to affect outcome measures. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment **✓** Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): In FY20, 734 Wellness Recovery Action Plans were begun to prior to discharge. Wellness Recovery Action Plans completed at the behavioral health programs help patients through the process of identifying and understanding their personal wellness resources and help them develop a personalized plan to use these resources on a daily basis to manage their mental illness. 358 WRAPs were completed at North Mississippi State Hospital, 325 were completed at South Mississippi State Hospital, and 51 were completed at East Mississippi State Hospital due to a delay in receiving WRAP booklets. 17 Priority #: **Priority Area: Evidence-Based Practices Priority Type:** MHS Population(s): SFD Goal of the priority area: Provide trainings in evidence-based and best practices to a variety of stakeholders

### Strategies to attain the goal:

Offer Youth Mental Health First Aid to school personnel, parents, and School Resource Officers through partnerships with CMHCs and Mississippi Department of Education

### Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:

Indicator: Number of YMHFA trainings

**Baseline Measurement:** In FY 2019, 28 YMHFA trainings were offered to parents and school personnel

First-year target/outcome measurement:

Second-year target/outcome measurement: 45 New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): **Data Source:** Trainings conducted are submitted monthly by certified YMHFA trainers within the DMH and across the state on a monthly basis. New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** This data is collected by the Bureau of Outreach and Planning which oversees all outreach efforts including internal and external communications, public awareness campaigns, trainings, statewide suicide prevention, and special projects. Trainings conducted are submitted on a monthly basis by trainers across the state certified in YMHFA. New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: There are currently no data issues/caveats expected to affect outcome measures. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: In FY 20, 35 YMHFA trainings were provided. Of the trainings offered, there were 24 school districts represented by participants in the trainings. Though training was halted by the COVID-19 pandemic, Mental Health Awareness Training Grant (MHAT) staff developed two virtual trainings, "Helping Youth During COVID-19" and "Focusing on Your Mental Health during COVID-19," which have respectively reached 1,300 people of June 30. A virtual Mental Health First Aid training curriculum was released in June 2020, and MHAT staff have begun offering trainings in this new, virtual format. Due to COVID-19, 17 MHFA trainings that were scheduled during March 2020 and June 30, 2020, had to be cancelled due to guarantine and social distancing restrictions regarding the pandemic. How first year target was achieved (optional): Priority #: **Priority Area: Evidence-Based Practices Priority Type:** MHS Population(s): SMI Goal of the priority area: Provide trainings in evidence-based and best practices to a variety of stakeholders Strategies to attain the goal: Partner with stakeholders to expand Crisis Intervention Team Training Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-Indicator #: Indicator: Number of officers trained in CIT **Baseline Measurement:** In FY 2019, 170 officers were trained in CIT.

Printed: 12/1/2020 9:49 PM - Mississippi - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

First-year target/outcome measurement:

Second-year target/outcome measurement:

175

	clusion of each CIT Training, a list	of graduates is submitted to DMH by the seven counties providing CIT.
New Data S	ource(if needed):	
Description	of Data:	
counties p		eau of Behavioral Health Services collects the data from graduation lists submitted by the ed following each graduation (Desoto County, Jones County, Lauderdale County, Forrest rison County).
New Descrip	ption of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/	caveats that affect outcome meas	sures:
There are r	no data issues/caveats expected to	affect outcome measures.
New Data is	ssues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:
Report (	of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year	5	_
	target was not achieved, and cha	nugge proposed to most torque
In FY20, the the COVID-pandemic.	-19	nine classes held in CIT, despite having no classes held in the last quarter of the year due to
How first ye	ear target was achieved (optional):	
	19	
ty #: ty Area:	19 Co-occurring Disorders	
ty #:		
ty #: ty Area:	Co-occurring Disorders	
ty #: ty Area: ty Type:	Co-occurring Disorders SAT PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB	
ty #: ty Area: ty Type: ation(s):	Co-occurring Disorders  SAT  PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB  area:	
ty #: ty Area: ty Type: ation(s): of the priority gies to attain	Co-occurring Disorders  SAT  PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB  area:	
ty #: ty Area: ty Type: ation(s): of the priority gies to attain	Co-occurring Disorders  SAT  PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB  area:  the goal:  rmance Indicators to measure	re goal success
ty #: ty Area: ty Type: ation(s): of the priority gies to attain	Co-occurring Disorders  SAT  PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB  area:  the goal:  rmance Indicators to measure	
by #: by Area: by Type: ation(s): of the priority gies to attain nnual Perfo Indicator #: Indicator:	Co-occurring Disorders  SAT  PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB  area:  the goal:  rmance Indicators to measure	re goal success  1  Determine the co-occurring level of the Community Mental Health Centers (CMHCs) by way of a DDCMHT assessment. (Co-occurring Level will either be Co-Occurring Capable or CoOccurring Enhanced).
by #: by Area: by Type: ation(s): of the priority gies to attain nnual Perfo Indicator #: Indicator:	Co-occurring Disorders  SAT  PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB  area:  the goal:  rmance Indicators to measure	re goal success  1  Determine the co-occurring level of the Community Mental Health Centers (CMHCs) by way of a DDCMHT assessment. (Co-occurring Level will either be Co-Occurring Capable or CoOccurring Enhanced).  In grant year 2017-2018, 0% of the CMHCs Co-Occurring Conditions was identified. In grant

**DDCMHT Scoring Results** 

Data Source:

	Data:	
DDCMHT Sco	ring Results	
New Description	on of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/ca	veats that affect outcome m	neasures:
	•	ing the re-assessment process. ne changes needed as a result of the DDCMHT assessment.
New Data issu	es/caveats that affect outcor	me measures:
Report of	Progress Toward G	Soal Attainment
First Year Ta	rget: 🔽 Achi	nieved
Reason why ta	rget was not achieved, and	changes proposed to meet target:
How first year	target was achieved (options	nal):
n FY 19, two o		ated and successfully completed training. Catholic Charities and Region Nine (9) completed
4, Alcohol Sei	rvices Center, Region 2, and I	nd completed training. Region 3, Region 1, Harbor House, Region 8, MS State Hospital, Regior Region 11 were sent invitations to begin their Agency's TA/Training plan. Seven of the nine npleted or are close to completion.
rity #·	20	
rity #:	20 Recovery Supports - Peer Su	upport
rity Area: rity Type:	Recovery Supports - Peer Su SAT	
rity Area: rity Type: ulation(s):	Recovery Supports - Peer Su SAT PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB	
rity Area: rity Type: ulation(s):	Recovery Supports - Peer Su SAT PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB ea:	
rity Area: rity Type: ulation(s): I of the priority are decrease recidivisr	Recovery Supports - Peer Su SAT PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB ea: m in Mississippi.	
rity Area: rity Type: ulation(s): I of the priority are decrease recidivise tegies to attain the	Recovery Supports - Peer Su SAT PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB ea: m in Mississippi.	
rity Area: rity Type: ulation(s): I of the priority are decrease recidivisr tegies to attain the	Recovery Supports - Peer Su SAT PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB ea: n in Mississippi. e goal: ns will become part of the cli	lient record.
rity Area: rity Type: ulation(s): I of the priority are decrease recidivism tegies to attain the covery support pla Annual Perform	Recovery Supports - Peer Su SAT PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB ea: m in Mississippi.	lient record.  sure goal success
rity Area: rity Type: ulation(s): I of the priority are decrease recidivish tegies to attain the covery support pla Annual Perform Indicator #:	Recovery Supports - Peer Su SAT PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB ea: n in Mississippi. e goal: ns will become part of the cli	lient record.  sure goal success
rity Area: rity Type: ulation(s): I of the priority are decrease recidivism tegies to attain the covery support pla Annual Perform Indicator #: Indicator:	Recovery Supports - Peer Susant SAT PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB ea: in in Mississippi. e goal: ins will become part of the clinance Indicators to meas	lient record.  sure goal success  1  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists by 5%.
rity Area: rity Type: ulation(s): I of the priority are decrease recidivisr tegies to attain the covery support pla Annual Perform Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Meas	Recovery Supports - Peer Susant SAT PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB ea: In in Mississippi. e goal: Ins will become part of the clipance Indicators to measure.  Burement:	lient record.  sure goal success  1  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists by 5%.  Currently there are 90 certified recovery support specialists in the state for SUD.
rity Area: rity Type: ulation(s): I of the priority are decrease recidivism tegies to attain the covery support pla Annual Perform Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Meas First-year targ	Recovery Supports - Peer Susant SAT PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB ea: m in Mississippi. e goal: ns will become part of the clinance Indicators to measurement: et/outcome measurement:	lient record.  sure goal success  1  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists by 5%.  Currently there are 90 certified recovery support specialists in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of peer support specialists by 3%.
rity Area: rity Type: ulation(s): I of the priority are decrease recidivism tegies to attain the covery support pla Annual Perform Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Meas First-year targe Second-year ta	Recovery Supports - Peer Susant SAT PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB ea: In in Mississippi. e goal: Ins will become part of the clipance Indicators to measure.  Burement:	lient record.  sure goal success  1  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists by 5%.  Currently there are 90 certified recovery support specialists in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of peer support specialists by 3%.  It: Increase the number of peer support specialists by an additional 2%.
rity Area: rity Type: ulation(s): of the priority are decrease recidivism tegies to attain the covery support pla Annual Perform Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Meas First-year targe	Recovery Supports - Peer Susant SAT PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB ea: m in Mississippi. e goal: ns will become part of the cli mance Indicators to measurement: et/outcome measurement: arget/outcome measurement	lient record.  sure goal success  1  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists by 5%.  Currently there are 90 certified recovery support specialists in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of peer support specialists by 3%.  It: Increase the number of peer support specialists by an additional 2%.
rity Area: rity Type: ulation(s): I of the priority are decrease recidivism tegies to attain the covery support pla Annual Perform Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Meas First-year targ Second-year ta New Second-y Data Source:	Recovery Supports - Peer Susant SAT PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB ea: m in Mississippi. e goal: ns will become part of the cli mance Indicators to measurement: et/outcome measurement: arget/outcome measurement	lient record.  sure goal success  1  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists by 5%.  Currently there are 90 certified recovery support specialists in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of peer support specialists by 3%.  It: Increase the number of peer support specialists by an additional 2%.  ement(if needed):

**Description of Data:** 

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	asures:
None foreseen	
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target: Achiev	_
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	nanges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (optional)	
, , ,	ctively employed) in Mississippi. The CPSS program has expanded with designations of
Parent/Caregiver	
participated in the CPSS Young Adult training Parent/Caregiver designations were received	ery. Mississippi's held five CPSS Trainings in FY20. During the year, six young people ng, which was developed in conjunction with NAMI Mississippi. Also in FY20, a total of 33 d. DMH also continues to use CPSS Ambassadors to support CPSSs and educate interested e first year to utilize CPSS Ambassadors to support CPSSs upon hire and provide
Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	Increase the overall number of Certified Peer Support Specialists – Recovery
	Increase the overall number of Certified Peer Support Specialists – Recovery  Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.
Baseline Measurement:	
Indicator:  Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by 2.
Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by 2.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.
Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurem	Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by 2.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.
Baseline Measurement:	Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by 2.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.
Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurem  Data Source:	Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by 2.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.
Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurem  Data Source:  Workforce development training database.  New Data Source(if needed):	Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by 2.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.
Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurem Data Source:  Workforce development training database.  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:	Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by 2.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.
Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurement  Data Source:  Workforce development training database.  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:  The workforce development division of DMH	Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by 2.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  nent(if needed):
Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurem Data Source:  Workforce development training database.  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:	Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by 2.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists -Recovery by an additional 2%.  ment(if needed):  H trains and certifies Peer Support Specialists - Recovery
Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurement  Data Source:  Workforce development training database.  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:  The workforce development division of DMH  New Description of Data:(if needed)	Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by 2.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists -Recovery by an additional 2%.  ment(if needed):  H trains and certifies Peer Support Specialists - Recovery
Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurem Data Source:  Workforce development training database.  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:  The workforce development division of DMH  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurem	Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by 2.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  ment(if needed):  H trains and certifies Peer Support Specialists - Recovery
Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurement  Data Source:  Workforce development training database.  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:  The workforce development division of DMH  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meaning.  N/A  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by 2.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists -Recovery by an additional 2%.  ment(if needed):  H trains and certifies Peer Support Specialists - Recovery  assures:
Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurement  Data Source:  Workforce development training database.  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:  The workforce development division of DMH  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meaning  N/A  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Go	Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by 2.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.
Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurement  Data Source:  Workforce development training database.  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:  The workforce development division of DMH  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meaning	Currently there are 0 Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery in the state for SUD.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by 2.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.  Increase the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists - Recovery by an additional 2%.

The Bureau of Alcohol and Drug Addiction Services (BADAS) developed and implemented a new Recovery Module for the current CPSS training. The new module is called CPSS-Recovery. The first class for the new recovery module was held in September, 2020. There were 25 participants in which they all passed the final exam and were sent certifications.

A second training was scheduled for November 2020 but was cancelled because of low interest in participating due to both the COVID-19 pandemic and the training being so close to the Thanksgiving holiday. The next training is scheduled in early 2021 that will include the always improving RECOVERY Module.

Priority #: 21

**Priority Area:** Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children

**Priority Type:** SAT

Population(s): PWWDC

### Goal of the priority area:

To ensure the delivery of quality specialized services to pregnant women and women with dependent children.

### Strategies to attain the goal:

Strategies to Obtain the Goal: The Department of Mental Health's (DMH) Bureau of Alcohol and Drug Services (BADS) will continue to certify and provide funding to support fourteen (14) community-based primary residential treatment programs for adult females and males. While all of the programs serve pregnant women, there are two specialized programs that are equipped to provide services for the duration of the pregnancy. Six (6) free-standing programs are certified by the DMH, making available a total of twenty (20) primary residential substance abuse treatment programs located throughout the 14 community mental health regions.

In addition to the substance use disorder treatment, these specialized primary residential programs will provide the following services: 1) primary medical care including prenatal care and childcare; 2) primary pediatric care for their children including immunization; 3) gender specific substance abuse treatment and other therapeutic interventions for women that may address issues of relationships, sexual and physical abuse, parenting, and child care while the women are receiving these services; 4) therapeutic interventions for children in custody of women in treatment which may, among other things address their developmental needs and issues of sexual and physical abuse and neglect; 5) sufficient case management and transportation services to ensure that women and their children have access to the services provided in (1) through (4).

The DMH Operational Standards require that all substance abuse programs must document and follow written policies and procedures that ensure:

- Pregnant women are given priority for admission;
- Pregnant women may not be placed on a waiting list. Pregnant women must be admitted into a substance abuse treatment program within forty-eight (48) hours;
- If a program is unable to admit a pregnant woman due to being at capacity; the program must assess, refer, and place the individual in another certified DMH certified program within 48 hours;
- If a program is unable to admit a pregnant woman, the woman must be referred to a local health provider for prenatal care until an appropriate placement is made;
- If a program is at capacity and a referral must be made, the pregnant woman must be offered an immediate face to face assessment at the agency or anther DMH certified provider. If offered at another DMH certified program, the referring program must facilitate the appointment at the alternate DMH certified program. The referring provider must follow up with the certified provider and program to ensure the individual was placed within forty-eight (48) hours.

### -Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:

Indicator: The percentage of women served who successfully completed treatment.

**Baseline Measurement:** 

First-year target/outcome measurement: Increase by 2% the number of pregnant women who successfully complete treatment

during 2019-2020.

Second-year target/outcome measurement: Increase by an additional 1% the number of pregnant women who successfully complete

treatment during 2020-2021.

### New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

### **Data Source:**

Annual SABG Monitoring visits, Central Data Repository, and Programs will provide policy and procedures ensuring priority is given to pregnant women.

Description of Data:			
Addictive Services will corepisode data sets	duct SABG monitoring visits annu	ally to ensure programs are giving priority to pregnant women. Treatment	
will be used to determine the number of pregnant women who successfully complete treatment each year.			
New Description of Data:(i	f needed)		
Data issues/caveats that af	fect outcome measures:		
Funding issues could affect	ct the availability of services.		
New Data issues/caveats th	nat affect outcome measures:		
Report of Progress	Toward Goal Attainme	ent	
First Year Target:	Achieved	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)	
Reason why target was no	achieved, and changes proposed	I to meet target:	
How first year target was a	chieved (optional):		
A total of 137 pregnant wo	omen were served in FY 20 (First Ta	arget Year - July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2020).	
Pagion 1: FORW Pagion 2	· SPW Region 3: 7PW Region 4: 1	I PW, Region 6: 6PW, Region 7: 5PW, Region 8: 1PW, Region10: 1PW, Region	

Priority #: 22

Priority Area: HIV

**Priority Type:** SAT

**Population(s):** EIS/HIV

### Goal of the priority area:

To increase the number of individuals in all substance use disorders treatment services to know their HIV status, modes of transmission, preventative measures, accessible community resources and treatment for HIV/AIDS, sexually transmitted diseases and tuberculosis.

### Strategies to attain the goal:

Substance use disorder providers will fervently encourage HIV testing, explicitly explain the benefits, provide education and immediately after, offer testing.

# -Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:

Individuals receiving substance use disorder services will know their HIV status and become

aware and /or increase awareness of the severity of HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and sexually

transmitted diseases.

**Baseline Measurement:** Currently there is no baseline, because the data collection began July 2019.

First-year target/outcome measurement: Fifty percent (50%) of individuals in all substance use disorder treatment services will know

their HIV status, modes of transmission, preventative measures, accessible community resources and treatment for HIV/AIDS, sexually transmitted diseases and tuberculosis.

Second-year target/outcome measurement: Sixty percent (60%) of individuals in all substance use disorder treatment services will know

their HIV status, modes of transmission, preventative measures, accessible community resources and treatment for HIV/AIDS, sexually transmitted diseases and tuberculosis.

New Second-year target/outcome measurement (if needed):

# **Data Source:** MS Department of Health STD/HIV Office-Prevention Branch New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** An HIV Early intervention Services Reporting Form will be completed by all substance use disorders providers monthly to report data to the Mississippi Department of Health. The Mississippi Department of Mental Health, Bureau of Behavioral Health/Addictive Services will receive a yearly summary. New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Individuals receiving substance use disorder services may opt out of taking an HIV test. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): The Department of Mental Health continues to work with the Department of Health (HIV/AIDS, sexually transmitted diseases, tuberculosis, and hepatitis) to: 1. Offer HIV testing 2. Strongly encourage HIV testing 3. Explicitly explain the benefits of HIV testing 4. Provide education pertaining to (a) modes of transmission; (b) preventative measures; (c) accessible community resources; and (d) treatment for HIV/AIDS, sexually transmitted diseases, hepatitis, and tuberculosis As a result of the collaboration between the MS Department of Mental Health and the MS Department of Health, through education and HIV rapid testing, seventy-four percent (74%) of individuals in substance use disorder treatment services are acutely aware of: 1. Their HIV status 2. Modes of transmission for HIV/AIDS, sexually transmitted diseases, tuberculosis, and hepatitis

 ${\it 3. Preventative measures for HIV/AIDS, sexually transmitted diseases,}\\$ 

tuberculosis, and hepatitis

4. Accessible community resources for HIV/AIDS, sexually transmitted diseases,

tuberculosis, and hepatitis

 $5. \ Treatment \ for \ HIV/AIDS, \ sexually \ transmitted \ diseases, \ and \ tuberculosis$ 

Individuals receiving HIV rapid testing and education on the risk factors for HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, sexually transmitted diseases, and hepatitis has increased seventy-four (74%) according to the MS Department of Health fiscal year 20 data report.

Priority #: 23

**Priority Area:** IV Drug Users

**Priority Type:** SAT

**Population(s):** PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB

### Goal of the priority area:

### Strategies to attain the goal:

All DMH certified substance abuse programs must document and follow written policies and procedures that ensure:

- A. Individuals who use IV drugs are provided priority admission over non-IV drug users.
- B. Individuals who use IV drugs are placed in the treatment program identified as the best modality by the assessment within forty-eight (48) hours.
- C. If a program is unable to admit an individual who uses IV drugs due to being at capacity, the program must assess, refer and place the individual in another certified DMH program within forty-eight (48) hours.
- D. If unable to complete the entire process as outlined in sectioned C., DMH Office of Consumer Support must be notified immediately by fax or email using standardized forms provided by DMH. The time frame for notifying DMH of inability to place an individual who uses IV drugs cannot exceed forty -eight (48) hours from the initial request for treatment from the individual.
- E. If a program is at capacity and a referral must be made, the referring provider is responsible for assuring the establishment of alternate placement at another certified DMH program within forty-eight (48) hours.
- F. The referring provider is responsible for ensuring the individual was placed within forty-eight (48) hours.
- G. In the case there is an IV drug user that is unable to be admitted because of insufficient capacity, the following interim services will be provided:
- 1. Counseling and education regarding HIV, Hepatitis, and TB, the risks of sharing needles, the risk of transmission to sex partners and infants, and the steps to prevent HIV transmission; and
- 2. Referrals for HIV, Hepatitis, and TB services made when necessary

nual Performance Indicators to measu	re goal success—			
Indicator #:	1			
Indicator:	The percentage of IV drug users successfully completed treatment.			
Baseline Measurement:	393 IV drug users complete treatment			
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase by 3% the number of IV Drug Users who successfully complete treatment after admission.			
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	<b>/outcome measurement:</b> Increase by 3.5% the number of IV Drug Users who successfully complete treatment after admission.			
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):			
Data Source:				
Annual Monitoring visits. Programs will prov	vide policy and procedures ensuring priority is given to IV drug users.			
New Data Source(if needed):				
Description of Data:				
_	ally to ensure programs are giving priority to IV drug users. Treatment episode data sets will users who successfully complete treatment each year.			
New Description of Data:(if needed)				
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:			
None foreseen				
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:			
Report of Progress Toward Go.	al Attainment			
First Year Target:	red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)			
Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet target:			
	substance use disorder treatment has decreased 29% according to the Department of			

Prescription Drug Use **Priority Area: Priority Type:** SAP Population(s): PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Students in College) Goal of the priority area: To reduce the number of prescriptions and dosage units. Strategies to attain the goal: Provide education through media campaigns, town hall meetings, and healthcare policy and practice changes. -Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-Indicator #: Indicator: Adolescent Past 30 Day Prescription Drug Use **Baseline Measurement:** 3.4% of 6-11th graders report using prescription drugs that were not prescribed to them by Reduce the baseline prevalence estimate by .5% in year one First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: Reduce the baseline prevalence estimate by 1% in year two New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): **Data Source:** Smarttrack New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** Smarttrack Description: The MS Department of Mental Health (DMH), Bureau of Alcohol and Drug Services began collaborating with the MS Department of Education, Office of Healthy Schools in 2001 to implement a statewide youth survey (SmartTrack) that measures youth consumption and consequence patterns of alcohol and drug use in MS. It also measures other risk and protective factors including drug-related disapproval attitudes and perceived risk of harm, suicide ideation and attempts, health, nutrition, family influences, school safety and bullying, and social engagement. New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: We are currently investigating new forms of data collection. We will request technical assistance in this area. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: Mississippi (MS) SmartTrack Survey staff were in the process of coordinating a surveying schedule with MS school districts aimed to target 50,000-100,000 middle and high school students in both private and public schools when the COVID-19 pandemic evolved. The state's primary data source for implementation of services and data-driven decision making has been revitalized and is awaiting administration. How first year target was achieved (optional): Indicator #: 2 Indicator: Perception of Harm **Baseline Measurement:** In 2016, 3.4% of Mississippi youth in grades 6-11 reported having used prescription drugs

First-year target/outcome measurement: Reduce the baseline prevalence estimate of youth in grades 6-11 that report having usedprescription drugs in a way other than how they were prescribed by .5% during the first year Second-year target/outcome measurement: Reduce the baseline prevalence estimate of youth in grades 6-11 that report having usedprescription drugs in a way other than how they were prescribed by 1% during the second New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): Data Source: SmartTrack New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** Smarttrack Description: The MS Department of Mental Health (DMH), Bureau of Alcohol and Drug Services began collaborating with the MS Department of Education, Office of Healthy Schools in 2001 to implement a statewide youth survey (SmartTrack) that measures youth consumption and consequence patterns of alcohol and drug use in MS. It also measures other risk and protective factors including drug-related disapproval attitudes and perceived risk of harm, suicide ideation and attempts, health, nutrition, family influences, school safety and bullying, and social engagement. New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: None foreseen New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: Mississippi (MS) SmartTrack Survey staff were in the process of coordinating a surveying schedule with MS school districts aimed to target 50,000-100,000 middle and high school students in both private and public schools when the COVID-19 pandemic evolved. The state's primary data source for implementation of services and data-driven decision making has been revitalized and is awaiting administration. How first year target was achieved (optional):

Priority #: 25

Priority Area: Alcohol Use

**Priority Type:** SAP

**Population(s):** PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Students in College)

### Goal of the priority area:

Reduce alcohol use and substance abuse to protect the health, safety, and quality of life for Mississippi adolescents and young adults

### Strategies to attain the goal:

BADS prevention programs will provide information to communities about the increased risk associated with early exposure to alcohol and its potential negative consequences.

BADS prevention programs will work with local community coalitions to implement local policies that will lower alcohol consumption among youth.

BADS prevention programs will continue to implement evidence-based practices, programs, and strategies aimed at reducing underage drinking and alcohol abuse.

Indicator #:				
Indicator:	Adolescent Past Month Alcohol Use			
Baseline Measurement:	8.8% (21,000) of youth ages 12-17 reported Alcohol Use during the Past Month, 2014-2015  Reduce the baseline prevalence estimate by .5% in year one			
First-year target/outcome measurement:				
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Reduce the baseline prevalence estimate by 1% in year two			
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):			
Data Source:				
NSDUH				
New Data Source(if needed):				
Description of Data:				
MS Department of Education, Office of Healt consumption and consequence patterns of a drug-related disapproval attitudes and percesafety and bullying, and social engagement.  NSDUH Description: The National Survey on alcohol, illicit drugs (including non-medical the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Server.)	Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) provides national and state-level data on the use of tobacco, use of prescription drugs) and mental health in the United States. NSDUH is sponsored by vices Administration (SAMHSA), an agency of the U.S. Public Health Service in the U.S.			
Department of Health and Human Services (I	DHHS).			
New Description of Data:(if needed)				
	SHIPSS:			
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas	sures:			
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean				
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean				
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means None foreseen  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:			
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means of the control of Progress Toward Good	measures: al Attainment			
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measure.  None foreseen  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome.  Report of Progress Toward Goa.  First Year Target:	e measures:  al Attainment  ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)			
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measure.  None foreseen  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Goa  First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and characterists.	measures:  al Attainment  ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:			
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measure.  None foreseen  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward God  First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and characterists.	measures:  al Attainment  ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:  duced by .5% in year one. Based on 2017-2018 NSDUH state data, 8.71% of youth ages 12-17			
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measure.  None foreseen  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Goa  First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and char  The baseline prevalence estimate was not recome	measures:  al Attainment  red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:  duced by .5% in year one. Based on 2017-2018 NSDUH state data, 8.71% of youth ages 12-17 in the state of Mississippi.			
None foreseen  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward God  First Year Target: Achiev  Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics prevalence estimate was not recoreported alcohol use during the past month	measures:  al Attainment  red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:  duced by .5% in year one. Based on 2017-2018 NSDUH state data, 8.71% of youth ages 12-17 in the state of Mississippi.			
None foreseen  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Goa  First Year Target: Achiev  Reason why target was not achieved, and cha  The baseline prevalence estimate was not recreported alcohol use during the past month  How first year target was achieved (optional)	measures:  al Attainment  red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:  duced by .5% in year one. Based on 2017-2018 NSDUH state data, 8.71% of youth ages 12-17 in the state of Mississippi.			
None foreseen  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Goa  First Year Target: Achiev  Reason why target was not achieved, and char  The baseline prevalence estimate was not recoreported alcohol use during the past month  How first year target was achieved (optional)	measures:  al Attainment  ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:  duced by .5% in year one. Based on 2017-2018 NSDUH state data, 8.71% of youth ages 12-17 in the state of Mississippi.			
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measure.  None foreseen  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward God  First Year Target: Achieve  Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics of the baseline prevalence estimate was not recovered alcohol use during the past month	measures:  al Attainment  red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:  duced by .5% in year one. Based on 2017-2018 NSDUH state data, 8.71% of youth ages 12-17 in the state of Mississippi.  :			
None foreseen  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristic prevalence estimate was not recorded alcohol use during the past month How first year target was achieved (optional)  Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement:	measures:  al Attainment  red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:  duced by .5% in year one. Based on 2017-2018 NSDUH state data, 8.71% of youth ages 12-17 in the state of Mississippi.  2  Young Adult Past Month Alcohol Use  46.9% (158,000) of young adults ages 18-25 reported alcohol use in the Past Month, 2014-			
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measure.  None foreseen  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward God  First Year Target: Achieve  Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristic prevalence estimate was not recomposited alcohol use during the past month  How first year target was achieved (optional)  Indicator:	Attainment  The Mot Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  The anges proposed to meet target:  Succed by .5% in year one. Based on 2017-2018 NSDUH state data, 8.71% of youth ages 12-17 in the state of Mississippi.  The anges proposed to meet target:  2  Young Adult Past Month Alcohol Use  46.9% (158,000) of young adults ages 18-25 reported alcohol use in the Past Month, 2014-2015 NSDUHs			
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurements  None foreseen  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Goa  First Year Target: Achieve  Reason why target was not achieved, and cha  The baseline prevalence estimate was not recovered alcohol use during the past month  How first year target was achieved (optional)  Indicator #:  Indicator:  Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:	measures:  Al Attainment  Bed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:  duced by .5% in year one. Based on 2017-2018 NSDUH state data, 8.71% of youth ages 12-17 in the state of Mississippi.  2  Young Adult Past Month Alcohol Use  46.9% (158,000) of young adults ages 18-25 reported alcohol use in the Past Month, 2014-2015 NSDUHs  Reduce the baseline prevalence estimate by .5% in year one  Reduce the baseline prevalence estimate by 1% in year two			

Description	of Data:
alcohol, ill	escription: The National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) provides national and state-level data on the use of tobacco, icit drugs (including non-medical use of prescription drugs) and mental health in the United States. NSDUH is sponsored by nce Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), an agency of the U.S. Public Health Service in the U.S. at of Health and Human Services (DHHS).
New Descri	ption of Data:(if needed)
Data issues	/caveats that affect outcome measures:
None	
New Data i	ssues/caveats that affect outcome measures:
Report	of Progress Toward Goal Attainment
First Year	Target: Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  y target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:
The baseli	ne prevalence estimate was not reduced by .5% in year one. Based on 2017-2018 NSDUH state data, 8.71% of youth ages 12-17 lcohol use during the past month in the state of Mississippi.
How first y	ear target was achieved (optional):
How first y	26 Marijuana Use
ey #:	26
ry #: ry Area:	26 Marijuana Use
ry #: ry Area: ry Type:	26 Marijuana Use SAP PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Students in College)
ry #: ry Area: ry Type: ation(s): of the priority	26 Marijuana Use SAP PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Students in College)
ry #: ry Area: ry Type: ation(s): of the priority	26 Marijuana Use SAP PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Students in College)  area: use to protect the health, safety, and quality of life for Mississippi adolescents
by #: by Area: by Type: ation(s): of the priority ce marijuana gies to attain	26 Marijuana Use SAP PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Students in College)  area: use to protect the health, safety, and quality of life for Mississippi adolescents
cy #: cy Area: cy Type: ation(s): of the priority ce marijuana gies to attain s will continu	26 Marijuana Use SAP PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Students in College)  area: use to protect the health, safety, and quality of life for Mississippi adolescents  the goal:

# Indicator #: Indicator: Adolescent Past Month Marijuana Use Baseline Measurement: 5.3% (13,000) of youth ages 12-17 reported marijuana use in the Past Month, 2014-2015 First-year target/outcome measurement: Reduce the baseline prevalence estimate by .5% in year one Second-year target/outcome measurement: Reduce the baseline prevalence estimate by 1% in year two

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Data Source:

NSDUH

alcohol, illicit drugs (including non-medical	n Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) provides national and state-level data on the use of tobacco, use of prescription drugs) and mental health in the United States. NSDUH is sponsored by vices Administration (SAMHSA), an agency of the U.S. Public Health Service in the U.S. DHHS).			
New Description of Data:(if needed)				
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	isures:			
None Foreseen				
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:			
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment			
First Year Target:	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)			
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet target:			
The baseline prevalence estimate was reduc 18-25 reported alcohol use during the past	ed by .5% in year one. Based on 2017-2018 NSDUH state data, 41.93% of young adults ages month in the state of Mississippi.			
How first year target was achieved <i>(optional,</i>	):			
Indicator #:	2			
Indicator:	Young Adult Past Month Marijuana Use			
Baseline Measurement:	13.9% (47,000) of young adults ages 18-25 reported Marijuana Use in the Past Month, 2014-2015 NSDUHs			
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Reduce the baseline prevalence estimate by .5% in year one			
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Reduce the baseline prevalence estimate by 1% in year two			
New Second-year target/outcome measuren Data Source:	nent( <i>if needed</i> ):			
NSDUH				
New Data Source(if needed):				
New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:				
Description of Data:  NSDUH Description: The National Survey or alcohol, illicit drugs (including non-medical	use of prescription drugs) and mental health in the United States. NSDUH is sponsored by vices Administration (SAMHSA), an agency of the U.S. Public Health Service in the U.S.			
Description of Data:  NSDUH Description: The National Survey or alcohol, illicit drugs (including non-medical the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Ser Department of Health and Human Services (	use of prescription drugs) and mental health in the United States. NSDUH is sponsored by vices Administration (SAMHSA), an agency of the U.S. Public Health Service in the U.S.			
Description of Data:  NSDUH Description: The National Survey or alcohol, illicit drugs (including non-medical the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Ser Department of Health and Human Services (  New Description of Data: (if needed)	use of prescription drugs) and mental health in the United States. NSDUH is sponsored by vices Administration (SAMHSA), an agency of the U.S. Public Health Service in the U.S. DHHS).			
Description of Data:  NSDUH Description: The National Survey or alcohol, illicit drugs (including non-medical the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Ser	vices Administration (SAMHSA), an agency of the U.S. Public Health Service in the U.S. DHHS).			

### Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

### How first year target was achieved (optional):

The baseline prevalence estimate was reduced by .5% in year one. Based on 2017-2018 NSDUH state data, 41.93% of young adults ages 18-25 reported alcohol use during the past month in the state of Mississippi.

Priority #: 27

**Priority Area:** Responding to the Opioid Crisis

Priority Type: SAT

**Population(s):** PWWDC, PWID, EIS/HIV, TB

# Goal of the priority area:

Implement or expand clinically appropriate evidence-based treatment service options and availability.

### Strategies to attain the goal:

Implement and expand access to and utilization of evidence-based, FDA-approved medication assisted treatment (MAT), in combination with psychosocial interventions.

### -Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:

Implement or expand clinically appropriate evidence-based treatment service options and

availability.

**Baseline Measurement:** 5 certified OTP's in the state.

**First-year target/outcome measurement:** 2 additional providers will be certified in the state.

Second-year target/outcome measurement: 2 additional providers will be certified in the state.

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

### **Data Source:**

Certification database

### New Data Source(if needed):

Review of additional data needed and collected through WITS and SOR II evaluation team to ensure that new programs have access to SOR II funding.

### **Description of Data:**

The Certification database contains all certified providers and their certifications.

### New Description of Data:(if needed)

The SOR II DMH team will work with DMH training unit to determine numbers of times that the SOR II page and/or Stand Up Mississippi page was accessed. This will allow us to ascertain the interests and needs related to certification and Opioid/Stimulant services. As the new DMH website is developed, Bureau of Alcohol and Drug Addiction Services (BADAS) will determine if there is a way to collect this interest and follow-up. BADAS will also work with the training unit to determine the best location for SOR II resources.

### Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

### New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

The DMH website is outdated. SOR II staff and the DMH training unit are looking at ways to assureThe updated website will be a great asset, but many Mississippians do not have access to internet. Providing OUD information in doctor offices, health clinics as well as at trainings and workshops are still relevant in Mississippi.

that programs that provide	de OUD services are given the opport	tunity to become certified by DMH and be considered for future funding.		
Additionally, COVID 19 has been a barrier in that in-person trainings or workshops were halted in March of 2020 and continue to be cancelled due to increased COVID 19 numbers.				
Report of Progres	s Toward Goal Attainmer	nt		
First Year Target:	Achieved	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)		
Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:				
How first year target was a	achieved (optional):			
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	providers) in three locations throughout the State has been certified. This 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020.		

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

### **Footnotes:**

Table 1 Priority 27 Indicator 2 - Identify and treat opioid abuse during pregnancy.

Second year target - Not Achieved

In last year's 2020 Report for Indicator 2 it states the second year target was not achieved. It also states the number of pregnant women served for opioid abuse was 10 and the data source was (Fairland, Harbor House, and Catholic Charities).

In the timeframe of this report (July 2019-June 30 2020), there were 13 pregnant or parents of dependent children(0-4) who received Opioid/stimulant services through the SOR funding. In addition, 30 children were provided lodging and services as their parent received life saving treatment for their Opioid/Stimulant addiction. This number is remarkable given the COVID shutdowns March 2020 to today. This increase in numbers speaks to the dedication and creativity of the SOR providers, providing life saving treatment while maintaining COVID safety requirements

Available treatment resources

With the addition of the Statewide Opioid funding, there are currenting 3 SOR providers who provide specialized treatment for Pregnant and parenting (0-4 years )individuals in need of Opioid/Stimulant treatment. This funding has facilitated the addition of 30 beds available women who are pregnant or parenting dependent children in need of Opioid/Stimulant treatment. In addition, there are 24 beds available to men who have dependent children (0-4) and request Opioid/Stimulant services through SOR II funding. There are 3 locations throughout the state.

Born Free a program of Catholic Charities, is located in Jackson and has been serving this unique vulnerable population for over 25 years. They currently have 26 beds available for women who are pregnant or have dependent children (0-4)

Harbor House in Byram increased the number of beds for women who are pregnant or have dependent children (0-4). These 6 beds are located in a separate cottage on the Harbor House campus.

Fairlane House- a program of Region 1 currently offers 23 beds to women who are pregnant or have dependent children (0-4) in addition, there are 24 beds available for men who have dependent children (0-4) and seeking Opioid/Stimulant services. Fairlane prioritizes resources for this vulnerable population, however other individuals in need of treatment also utilize these resources.

These three programs provide services throughout Mississippi, referring to other SOR providers as needed. In addition, geographical location is a consideration in determining appropriate services for this vulnerable population.

Unique count for the time period - 13

Pregnant and Parenting (Unique Record Count)

- 1 Child = 4
- 2 Children = 0
- 3 Children = 0

1 Child = 26

### Barriers:

The primary barrier is the COVID 19 pandemic resulting in quarantine of in person meetings and gatherings. March 15 was the beginning of the COVID shutdown in Mississippi.

Since March, the quarterly in-person State SEOW meetings was not held for the first quarter and a zoom meeting occurred for the 2nd quarter. In the interim the SEOW Director passed away adding to the stressors of this group meeting.

The SEOW is restructuring to combine with other OPIOID related meetings to assure useful collaboration.

The Opioid Coordinator position was vacant until April of 2020. Since that time, she has been unable to schedule meetings with other state agencies. In addition, the Mississippi Department of Health and has been the primary agency leading the COVID 19 response.

Proposed Changes to achieve the Goal:

With the restructuring of the SEOW program that consists of many state agencies working on OPIOID treatment and recovery, there will be an opportunity to work within that group to create a pregnant and parenting task force.

Pregnant and Parenting Task force

A. Establish a task force from the newly formed group known previously as SEOW.

B. Review DMH current programs and assess resources with agencies serving women who are pregnant and/or have dependent children seeking Opioid treatment.

# **C. State Agency Expenditure Reports**

# MHBG Table 3 - Set-aside for Children's Mental Health Services

Reporting Period Start Date: Reporting Period End Date:

Statewide Expenditures for Children's Mental Health Services				
Actual SFY 1994	Actual SFY 2019	Estimated/Actual SFY 2020	Expense Type	
\$1,897,209	\$11,115,640 \$12,623,844 • Actual • Actual			
If <u>estimated</u> expenditures are provided, please indicate when <u>actual</u> expenditure data will be submitted to SAMHSA:				
States and jurisdictions are required not to spend less than the amount expended in FY 1994.  0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022				
Footnotes:				

# **C. State Agency Expenditure Reports**

# MHBG Table 6 - Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures on Mental Health Services

Reporting Period Start Date: Reporting Period End Date:

Period	Expenditures	<u>B1(2018) + B2(2019)</u> 2
(A)	(B)	, (C)
SFY 2018 (1)	\$27,845,549	
SFY 2019 (2)	\$36,013,991	\$31,929,770
SFY 2020 (3)	\$36,694,159	

Are the expenditure amounts reported in Column B "actual" expenditures for the State fiscal years involved?				
SFY 2018	3 Yes	X	No	
SFY 2019	Yes	X	No	
SFY 2020	) Yes	X	No	

If estimated expenditures are provided, please indicate when actual expenditure data will be submitted to SAMHSA:

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

# **Footnotes:**

3/4 MCRT (total \$4,752,500.60) expenditures included - deducted 1/4, or \$1,188,125